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In the recent book Optimization Stories edited by Martin Groetschel, Robert Mifflin and Claudia Sastizabal [4] describe how nonsmooth optimization arose through a rare collaboration between Soviet and Western scientists in the 1960s and 1970s. In the seemingly simple case of a convex objective function there exists everywhere a nonempty set of subgradients whose shortest element provides a direction of descent. While this set is also convex it may be quite difficult to compute and represent. On the other hand there are classical scenarios where it is quite easy to compute one of the subgradients, or in the more general Lipschitzian case, one generalized gradient. This lead to the widely accepted black-box paradigm, namely that nonsmooth optimization algorithms should be based on an evaluation oracle that provides the function value and just one generalized gradient at any point in the function domain. The resulting bundle methods were described and analyzed in great detail, for example in the classical books of Jean Baptiste Hiriart Urruty and Claude Lemarechal [3].

However, there exist very simple examples, where due to the codependence of intermediate quantities, such a generalized gradient cannot be computed by the application of generalized differentiation rules. Moreover, even when generalized gradients are available everywhere, the resulting descent algorithms are typically quite slow and suffer from the lack of practical stopping criteria. Ideally, these should be based on computable and reasonably sharp optimality conditions, that are satisfied approximately in a small but open neighborhood of a local minimizer. It will be shown here that this desirable situation can be achieved for objectives in the so called abs-normal form, which also yields enough information to achieve, linear, superlinear, or even quadratic convergence, by successive piecewise linearization provided certain nondegeneracy conditions are satisfied.

Any piecewise smooth function that is specified by an evaluation procedure involving smooth elemental functions and piecewise linear functions like \( \min \) and \( \max \) can be represented in abs-normal form [1]. This is in particular true for most popular nonsmooth test functions. By an extension of algorithmic, or
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automatic differentiation, one can then compute certain first and second order
derivative vectors and matrices that represent a local piecewise linearization and
provide additional curvature information. On the basis of these quantities we
characterize local optimality by first and second order necessary and sufficient
conditions [2], which generalize the corresponding KKT and SSC theory for
smooth problems. The key assumption is the Linear Independence Kink Qual-
ification (LIKQ), a generalization of LICQ familiar from NLOP. It implies that
the objective has locally a so-called VUL decomposition [4] and renders every-
thing tractable in terms of matrix factorizations and other simple linear algebra
operations.

In the smooth case local optimality conditions usually combine a stationarity
condition with a convexity conditions. In contrast, nonsmooth objectives can
have strongly isolated minima without having even weakly supporting hyper-
planes at arbitrarily close neighboring point. Since in some applications such as
multiphase equilibria, local convexity itself is necessary for single phase stability,
one may also ask for convexity conditions for piecewise smooth functions in abs-
normal form. As it turns out this property seems to be much more difficult to
verify than optimality as even the question of first order convexity, i.e. convexity
of the local piecewise linear model appears to be NP hard.
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